Complication of laser-induced thermal therapy for Baker’s cyst

S.A. Chernyadiev¹, V.B. Aretinskiy², A.V. Zhilyakov³, N.Yu. Korobova¹, S.M. Kutepov¹

¹Ural State Medical University, Ekaterinburg, Russian Federation
²State Autonomous Health Institution of the Sverdlovsk Region „Chusovskoy Lake” Regional Specialized Centre of Medical Rehabilitation, Ekaterinburg, Chusovskoy Lake Settlement, Russian Federation
³New Technologies for Outpatient Medicine Ltd. („NOTAMED” LTD), Ekaterinburg, Chusovskoy Lake Settlement, Russian Federation

Interventional laser-induced thermal therapy (LITT) is an emerging technique to treat popliteal synovial cysts. The goal of LITT is coagulation and subsequent obliteration of inosculation and cystic cavity under local tumescent anesthesia. Ultrasound is an imaging method used for LITT. Analyzing the results of the use of laser obliteration of Baker’s cyst, the authors concluded that obliteration of solely the cystic popliteal cavity can provide persistent recovery. As expected, in any invasive procedure, there are some procedure-related adverse events or complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Baker’s cyst is a benign synovial mass. The prevalence of the condition among patients seen for knee pains ranges from 3.4 to 32.0 % [1, 2]. There is no single approach to surgical strategy due to specific anatomical location of the entity, vague etiology and pathogenesis [3]. Local laser hyperthermia is used at several outpatient clinics employing collagen denaturation through exposure to direct radiation or secondary heat emittance [4]. The authors used the practice for laser obliteration of Baker’s cyst [5] and detected several shortcomings and difficulties during surgical interventions in addition to ease and efficacy of the technique. For instance, laser settings were produced empirically for local photocoagulation of the connective tissues and often appeared being not optimal with poorly predictable results. The procedure was associated with a risk of complication including burn of subcutaneous fat tissue due to difficulties of ultrasound visualization of the fine light pipe through soft tissues [6].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A 51-year-old female patient presented with complaints of intermittent cramps of gastrocnemius and episodes of sharp pain at the back of the left knee joint followed by tibial edema 2 months ago. She was examined at the Ekaterinburg office of ZAO Phlebology Center (Head of department O.A. Vinogradov, Când.Sci.(Med)). Palpation of the popliteal spaces behind the left knee joint revealed percutaneous elastic solid mass sized 4 × 2 cm. No changes in the skin was detected over the entity. Moderate crepitus was noted with passive motion of the left knee. Foucher sign was negative. Two-dimensional sonographic evaluation was performed for differential diagnosis using the B-mode Sonos 2000 ultrasound machine (HewlettPackard, USA) and 5.0 to 7.5 MHz linear ultrasonic probe.

RESULTS

Ultrasound physician detected elliptically contoured liquid mass (Fig. 1) 1 cm deep with hyperechoic capsule 1.0–1.5 mm thick having heterogeneous hypoechoic structure due to singular parietal proliferation of 2 mm with no signs of loose or fixed chondral bodies. The entity sized 42 × 30 × 11 mm. The liquid inside the cavity was echolucent. The mass squeezed with compression using the transducer and the contents did not appear to evacuate in the articular cavity.

The patient was diagnosed with left-sided grade I–II gonarthrosis and Baker’s cyst. The findings were helpful for identifying surgical solution and laser induced thermal therapy was offered for the patient who provided written informed consent. Contraindications were ruled out after preoperative examination and tests and the date of intervention fixed.
The procedure was performed on November 6, 2017 at a manipulation room with local anaesthesia (lidocaine 2% – 2.0) maintaining the intervention aseptic. The cyst was directly drained by aspiration with a needle. A 600-mcm thick quartz light pipe was inserted in the mass cavity via lumen of aspiration needle. Ultrasound guided tumescent anesthesia (lidocaine 0.25% – 30.0) was performed. Anesthetic solution was injected in perifocal tissues above and below the entity. The power of the laser beam was set at 10W with beams being continuous. A session of ultrasound-guided laser-induced thermal therapy lasted for 150 seconds at 1508J. There were difficulties with visualization of the light pipe butt at the return traction of optical fiber that resulted in 20-to-30-second radiation exposure of subcutaneous adipose tissue infiltrated with anesthetic solution. Thermal injury to skin could be seen after the procedure (Fig. 2). INTRASITE™ gel and aseptic dressing were applied shortly for the burn.

The patient was recommended to use 20-minute local cryoapplications three times per day, diclofenac 50 mg twice a day and retain dressing to 09.11.17. Visual inspection of 09.11.17 revealed moderate swelling and infiltration of soft tissues around the sinus opening formed at the site of aspiration with scanty seropurulent discharge. Ultrasound examination showed hypoechoic mass with spiculated margins and internal heterogeneity with no capsule. The entity sized 552 × 20 × 15 mm. Increased echogenicity was noted in the soft tissues around the mass (Fig. 3).

Aseptic dressing was applied after the wound had been treated with furacin. The patient was recommended to continue use of NSAID with a 7-day follow-up visit. She presented with no complaints at a week follow-up. Sinus could still be visualized and seropurulent discharge noted. Edema, infiltration and hyperemia were shown to subside but appeared to focus around the sinus with palpable fluctuation. Abundant necrotizing soft tissues exuded from the sinus at compression of the infiltration (Fig. 4a). The microscopic examination revealed clumps of stabs and a slight tissue component (Fig. 4b).

Fig. 1 Baker’s cyst prior to laser induced thermal therapy visualized with (a) ultrasound transverse scanning and (b) ultrasound transverse scanning

Fig. 2 Photograph showing popliteal area behind the left knee in a 51-year-old female patient R. who underwent intervention on 06.11.17. Gel spread over the burn surface can be seen in the picture taken immediately after the intervention

Fig. 3 Local examination performed on 09.11.17 demonstrating (a) popliteal area behind the left knee with point sinus at the site of aspiration; (b) ultrasound scan of the popliteal space behind the left knee showing soft tissue infiltration at the site of thermal therapy
Sinus tract and the cavity in subcutaneous cellular tissue were debrided with 3% hydrogen peroxide solution, followed by application of levomekol ointment and aseptic dressing. Outpatient recommendations included levomekol ointment dressings to be applied every other day and NSAID to be continued for 10 days with no antimicrobial therapy. Follow-up visit was scheduled in a month postsurgery.

The patient presented with no complaints at a 38-day follow-up and had no signs of edema, hyperemia and infiltration. The cavity in subcutaneous cellular tissue obliterated and the sinus epithelized with signs of hyperpigmented skin around. Ultrasonographic scan showed decrease in hyperechogenicity of injured tissues with infiltration having heterogeneous hyperechogenicity and sized up to $38 \times 10 \times 8$ mm (Fig. 5).

The patient reported no complaints at a 3-month follow-up, maintained good range of motion in the knee joint with no signs of vascular and neurological disorders in the left lower limb. Only a nidus of hyperpigmented skin could be locally observed (Fig. 6). Ultrasound examination showed a small nidus of prolate hypoechoic structure without liquid that sized $30 \times 4 \times 2$ mm.

**DISCUSSION**

We do not consider tumescent anesthesia an optimal solution for laser induced thermal therapy of Baker’s cyst for several reasons. First, surrounding tissues of the subcutaneous fat saturated with water solution of local anesthetic contribute to higher hydration and capacity to absorb radiation at wavelength of 1.47 mcm resulting in considerable overheating effect. Second, tumescent anesthesia fails to provide substantial anesthetic effect because major pain receptors of the cyst wall are located in the medium layer, close to capillary net and the solid external cyst wall prevents lidocaine diffusion [7]. Third, this type of anesthesia tends to squeeze cystic tissues to the light pipe in a heavy erratic manner and can lead, on the one side, to the light being completely absorbed by tissues with local temperature sharply increased to the point of carbonization. On the
other side, direct photocoagulation effect can occur at an area being equal to the width of the light pipe butt and the length of its trajectory in return traction from Baker’s cyst. This is not enough for the total or subtotal coagulation of the collagen comprising the popliteal cyst wall.

One should avoid unintentional exit of the acting light pipe butt beyond the external fibrous layer of Baker’s cyst. The occurrence can be visualized on the ultrasound screen and if happens, the laser induced procedure is to be immediately terminated. A 20-minute cryoapplication can be helpful after use of aseptic dressing.

Patients should be given special consideration when treating laser induced burns with tissues having been subjected to thermal injury and photon beam radiation inducing cell apoptosis. This factor interferes with the regenerative capabilities leading to granulation. Therefore, we would not advocate classical extensive incisions to drain infiltrations developing at the site of subcutaneous laser induced burns.
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